

**REMARKS**

Claims 7-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1-6 were withdrawn subject to restriction requirement. Claim 7 has been withdrawn. Claims 8-24 have been amended.

The Examiner has objected to the abstract for not being in proper language. The abstract has been amended consistent with the Examiners suggestions. The Examiner has also objected to references to the claims in the specification stating that specification should not find support in the claims. The specification has been revised to delete the references to the claims.

The Examiner has rejected claims 7 and 12/7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Koeda *et al.* (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0011628). Claim 7 has been cancelled rendering the rejection moot.

The Examiner has objected to claims 8-11, 12/8-11, 13 and 14 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 8 has been rewritten in independent form. Amended claim 8 includes all the limitations of claim 7 and claim 8. Therefore, as indicated by the Examiner claim 8 is now in allowable form. Claims 9-24, as amended, depend from claim 8 either directly or indirectly, and are allowable for at least same reasons as claim 8.

The Examiner has objected to claim 15-24 as being of improper form because multiple dependent claim should not depend from another multiple dependent claim. Claims 15-24, as amended, do not have a multiple dependent claim that depends from another multiple dependent claim. Therefore, it is urged that the objection is overcome.


Therefore, claims 8-24 are allowable and favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone Applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which the Examiner might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: April 19, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Mayankkumar M. Dixit  
Registration No.: 44,064  
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,  
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP  
600 South Avenue West  
Westfield, New Jersey 07090  
(908) 654-5000  
Attorney for Applicants

755808\_1.DOC